Interview Summary	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	
	09/676,722		WILSON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Laura A Grier		2644	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Laura A Grier</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Ognyan Beremski</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 06 January 2005.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed. <u>1</u> .				•
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 was discussed in view of the newly proposed prior art, Hosaka et al. An agreement was not in respect to the art, however, the attorney was informed that his comments regarding the prior art would be taken into consideration and to expect a correspondence.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
•				
			•	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	1	Examiner's signa	ature, if required	